

South Carolina

Locally-Employed Study Staff Improve Study Recruitment and Retention: A Community Engagement Strategy in a Predominately Rural South Carolina County

Dana Burshell¹; Randal Davis¹, Melissa Sherman³, Ramona Anderson³, Horace Britton³, Mary Brown³, Lynn Glenn², Brian Kendall⁸, Latasha Priester³: Helen Sherman³. Brenda Williams³. Sam Cvkert⁴. Carolvn Jenkins¹ ¹South Carolina Clinical & Translational Research Institute (SCTR), Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) ²University of Missouri School of Nursing, ³Regional Medical Center of Orangeburg, Health&Human Services ⁴NC Translational & Clinical Sciences (NC TraCS) Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

П NORTH CAROLINA TEANSLATIONAL & CLINICAL SCIENCES INSTITUTE

> mc the Regional Medical Center Orangeburg, SC

INTRODUCTION

Nearly 15%¹ of residents in Bamberg County, South Carolina (SC) have diabetes, a rate nearly 1.5 times the national average. Losing the only hospital in Bamberg County in 2012, low average per capita incomes (\$19,136)² and the rural nature of the county limit access to comprehensive medical care. African Americans (AAs) have a 42% higher diabetes prevalence than Whites¹ and comprise 61.3% of Bamberg County residents².

Research shows that recruitment and retention of people in rural communities, especially AAs, are challenging due to mistrust of researchers, lack of health care provider infrastructure referring patients to research, limited transportation, and perceived absence of personal or societal benefit3.

OBJECTIVE

Develop, implement, and adapt a communityengaged diabetes self-management intervention study in Bamberg County, SC and enhance impact through innovative recruitment and retention strategies.

METHODS

The Bamberg Diabetes Coalition initiated this community-driven study by identifying diabetes management as a priority and inviting academic researchers to help develop an intervention.

Through public forums and focus groups, community members stressed the importance of community leader support, locallyemployed study interventionists, and the impact of building rapport and trust.

Feedback led to a randomize-controlled study exploring the feasibility of two interventions of locally-employed medical home/ community extenders:

- Community health worker in-home visits
- Nurse interventionist telephone "visits"

STUDY DESGIN, CHALLENGES, AND SOLUTIONS

Inclusion Criteria:

- 18-75 years Bamberg participating primary care practice (PCP) patients from nearby counties, diagnosis of diabetes and
- · Hospital, emergency room, or urgent care patient or
- · PCP referral of patient with uncontrolled diabetes



Participant and Horace Britton (CHW); Mary Brown (nurse)

Intervention:

- Administer eight intervention sessions over 3 months Collaboratively identify primary
- health concerns and plan behavior goals

Evaluation:

- Monthly telephone surveys assessing health behaviors
- Medical record review

| Challenges | Staff Solutions |
|---|--|
| Smaller participant pool from Orangeburg hospital than expected | Recruitment expanded to include: Bamberg participating PCP referrals* Bamberg Urgent Care PCP patients to include surrounding counties |
| Study coordinating center located 1.5 hours away from study sites and primary care practices | Weekly teleconferences instituted that were essential for: Ongoing training Open communication and feedback Advocacy for study staff, participants, community |
| Technology challenges in the rural areas | Portable Wi-Fi hotspots for staff Video or in-home consent option |
| Inconsistent participant access to phones or gift cards | Study phone accessible Gift cards available for pick up or delivery |
| Inability to meet study deadlines due to multiple life challenges for both participants and staff | Flexibility in study schedule allowed Change study group if physically/mentally necessary |
| Additional support needed for intervention nurse | Second intervention nurse hired |
| Participant not comfortable sharing income, depression symptoms, or alcohol practices | Interventionists administered sensitive survey questions, income asked at study close-out after trust was built |
| Strict hospital IRB limit PIs to consent participants | Local study coordinator assigned as the Community PI |

* Participating Primary Care Practices agreed to make referrals only if participants were randomized to an intervention.

RESULTS

All study modifications were implemented by December.

Recruitment conducted June - December, 2015; study activities conducted June, 2015 - March, 2016

RECRUITMENT

Study modifications led to a <u>3-fold increase in recruitment</u> from an average of 4.3 in the first 4 months to an average of 12.3 recruits/month in the final 3 months of recruitment. (CHW n=26; Nurse n=27; UC n=5)*

RETENTION

- There were 10 participants consented who did not complete the study (CHW n=2; Nurse n=7; UC n=1) There were no additional dropouts during the last three months.
- * Study groups were: community health worker (CHW), nurse interventionists (Nurse) and usual care (UC).

This community-engaged study, which initially struggled with recruitment and retention, would not have been successful without the engagement, collaboration, and partnership with the community and locallyemployed study staff.

CONCLUSIONS

The study staff's suggested modifications significantly improved study recruitment and retention. Additionally, satisfaction improved for participants, study staff, and PCP practices which may improve future partnerships and participation in research.

Health facility use and cost analyses will be conducted in 2017, one-year post study.



Study Team from left to right: Helen Sherman, RN; Latasha Priester; Lynn Glenn, NP; Horace Britton, MS; Melissa Sherman, MA: Carolyn Jenkins, DrPH, MSN, RD, LD ; Mary Brown, BSN. Not pictured: Ramona Anderson, LPN; Dana Burshell, MPH

REFERENCES

- 1. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Diabetes In Bamberg County, 2012 Fact Sheet.
- 2. United States Census Bureau Quickfacts: Bamberg County, South Carolina. 2010-2014.
- 3. Williams IC et. al. 2011. Recruitment of Rural African Americans for Research Projects: Lessons Learned. South Online J Nurs Res. Apr 1; 11(1): 8.

FUNDING

- NIH Clinical and Translational Science Award -UL1 RR029882 (NCRR), UL1 TR000062 and UL1 TR001450 (NCATS) to the Medical University of South Carolina SCTR
- NIH Clinical and Translational Science Award -UL1 TR001111 to University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill NC TraCS
- South Carolina Department of Health & Human Services